Case No.: AUS920010188US1 (9000/31)

Serial No.: 09/821,108 Filed: March 29, 2001

Page 10 of 19

REMARKS/DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

Interview. The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesies shown in attempting to arrange an interview and regrets than an interview was unable to proceed.

Specification. The Applicant has amended the specification herein to correct typographical and format errors. No new matter was introduced by the amendments of the specification herein.

<u>Drawings</u>. The attached replacement drawing sheet 1/8 includes a correction of a misspelling of the word "HISTORY" in the label of database 41 as illustrated in FIG. 1. Examiner Janvier is therefore respectfully requested to approve the proposed replacement drawing sheet 1/8.

Claims 1-21. In the Non-Final Office Action, Examiner Janvier rejected pending claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,332,127 to Bandera et al. The Applicant responds to this rejection as subsequently recited herein, and respectfully requests reconsideration and further examination of the present application under 37 CFR § 1.112.

Case No.: AUS920010188US1 (9000/31)

Serial No.: 09/821,108 Filed: March 29, 2001 Page 11 of 19

As to the §102(e) rejection of pending claims 1-21, the Applicant has thoroughly considered Examiner Janvier's remarks concerning the patentability of pending claims 1-21 over *Bandera*. The Applicant has also thoroughly read *Bandera*. To warrant this §102(e) rejection of pending claims 1-21, *Bandera* must show each and every limitation of independent claims 1, 8 and 15 in as complete detail as in contained in independent claims 1, 8 and 15. See, MPEP §2131. The Applicant respectfully traverses this §102(e) rejection of claims 1, 8 and 15, because *Bandera* teaches away from the following limitations of independent claims 1, 8 and 15:

- 1. "receiving one or more keywords from the mobile station",
 "identifying an advertiser profile matching the keywords", and "transmitting
 an advertisement corresponding to the advertiser profile to the mobile station"
 as recited in independent claim 1;
- 2. "means for identifying an advertiser profile matching the keywords", and "means for transmitting an advertisement corresponding to the advertiser profile to said mobile station" as recited in independent claim 8; and
- 3. "computer readable code for receiving one or more keywords from the mobile station", "computer readable code for identifying an advertiser profile matching the keywords", and "computer readable code for transmitting an advertisement corresponding to the advertiser profile to the mobile station" as recited in independent claim 15.

Case No.: AUS920010188US1 (9000/31)

Serial No.: 09/821,108 Filed: March 29, 2001 Page 12 of 19

As to the traversal, the scopes of independent claims 1, 8 and 15 encompass a "pulling" of advertisements by a mobile station, and the teachings of Bundera encompass a "pushing" of advertisements to a mobile station. This distinction between the present invention and Bundera is unequivocal in view of a proper operational understanding of the present invention and Bundera.

Specifically, Bandera teaches a selection of an ad to a user of a mobile web client 21 (FIG. 1) exclusively based on a location of the user and a time of day of a Web page request from the user at block 104 (FIG. 3). Thus, when only a single ad is stored in lookup table 27 (FIG. 1), this single ad will be pushed to each user of a mobile web client 21 having the same general location and same request time of day irrespective of the particular type of Web page request submitted by the users. For example, a user A can request a financial news Web page, a user B can request a sports news Web page, and a user C can request a medical news Web page. If users A-C are standing in the same general location and make their Web page requests at the same time, then in accordance with Bandera each user A-C will get that single ad stored in lookup table 27.

By comparison, if users A-C are standing in the same general location and make their Web page requests at the same time, then in accordance with present invention each user A-C will get the single ad stored in lookup table 27 if and only if an advertiser profile associated with the single ad matches one or more keywords in the Web page requests. For example, if the advertiser profile associated with the single ad is related to sports, then user B will receive the single ad based on user B's Web page request being related to sports whereas users A and C will not receive the single ad based on their Web page requests being unrelated to sports.

Clearly, Bandera teaches a "pushing" advertisement technique and teaches away from a "pulling" advertisement technique. Withdrawal of the rejection of independent claims 1, 8 and 15 under U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Bandera is therefore respectfully requested.

Case No.: AUS920010188US1 (9000/31)

Serial No.: 09/821,108 Filed: March 29, 2001 Page 13 of 19

Claims 2-7 depend from independent claim 1. Therefore, dependent claims 2-7 include all of the elements and limitations of independent claim 1. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 2-7 are allowable over Bandera for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to independent claim 1 being allowable over Bandera. Furthermore, the Applicant respectfully asserts that Bandera teaches away from the following limitations of dependent claims 2-5 and 7 for the same reasons as set forth herein with respect to independent claim 1:

- "wherein said identification of the advertiser profile is based on the 1. keywords and the location of the mobile station" as recited in dependent claim 2;
- "determining a first service area of a base station having the mobile 2. station registered therein", "determining a second service area of a potential handoff base station", and "wherein said identification of the advertiser profile is based on the keywords, the first service area, and the second service area" as recited in dependent claim 3;
- "retrieving a user profile corresponding to the mobile station", and 3. "wherein said identification of the advertiser profile is based on the keywords and the user profile" as recited in dependent claim 4;
- "determining a location of the mobile station", "retrieving a user 4. profile corresponding to the mobile station", and "wherein said identification of the advertiser profile is based on the keywords, the location of the mobile station, and the user profile" as recited in dependent claim 5; and

Case No.: AUS920010188US1 (9000/31)

Serial No.: 09/821,108 Filed: March 29, 2001 Page 14 of 19

5. "establishing a communication link between the mobile station and a telecommunication device associated with an advertiser represented in the advertisement in response to a reception of a contact command indicating a desire of a user of the mobile station for an establishment of the communication link" as recited in dependent claim 7.

Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 2-7 under U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by *Bandera* is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 9-14 depend from independent claim 8. Therefore, dependent claims 9-14 include all of the elements and limitations of independent claim 8. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 9-14 are allowable over *Bandera* for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to independent claim 8 being allowable over *Bandera*. Furthermore, the Applicant respectfully asserts that *Bandera* teaches away from the following limitations of dependent claims 9-12 and 14 for the same reasons as set forth herein with respect to independent claim 8:

- 1. "means for identifying the advertiser profile based on the keywords and the location of the mobile station" as recited in dependent claim 9;
- 2. "means for determining a first service area of a base station having the mobile station registered therein", "means for determining a second service area of any potential handoff base station", and "wherein said identification of the advertiser profile is based on the keywords, the first service area, and the second service area" as recited in dependent claim 10;

Case No.: AUS920010188US1 (9000/31)

Serial No.: 09/821,108 Filed: March 29, 2001

Page 15 of 19

"means for identifying the advertiser profile based on the keywords 3. and a user profile corresponding to said mobile station" as recited in dependent claim 11;

- "means for identifying the advertiser profile based on the keywords, a 4. location of said mobile station, and a user profile corresponding to said mobile station" as recited in dependent claim 12; and
- "means for establishing a communication link between the mobile 5. station and a telecommunication device associated with an advertiser represented in the advertisement in response to a reception of a contact command indicating a desire of a user of the mobile station for an establishment of the communication link" as recited in dependent claim 14.

Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 9-14 under U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Bandera is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 16-21 depend from independent claim 15. Therefore, dependent claims 16-21 include all of the elements and limitations of independent claim 15. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 16-21 are allowable over Bundera for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to independent claim 15 being allowable over Bandera. Furthermore, the Applicant respectfully asserts that Bandera

Case No.: AUS920010188US1 (9000/31)

Serial No.: 09/821,108 Filed: March 29, 2001

Page 16 of 19

teaches away from the following limitations of dependent claims 16-19 and 21 for the same reasons as set forth herein with respect to independent claim 15:

- 1. "computer readable code for identifying the advertiser profile based on the keywords and the location of the mobile station" as recited in dependent claim 16:
- 2. "computer readable code for determining a first service area of a base station having the mobile station registered therein", "computer readable code for determining a second service area of any potential handoff base station", and "wherein said identification of the advertiser profile is based on the keywords, the first service area, and the second service area" as recited in dependent claim 17;
- 3. "computer readable code for identifying the advertiser profile based on the keywords and a user profile corresponding to said mobile station" as recited in dependent claim 18;
- 4. "computer readable code for identifying the advertiser profile based on the keywords, a location of said mobile station, and a user profile corresponding to said mobile station" as recited in dependent claim 19; and

+18479057113

T-808 P.20/23 F-225

January 22, 2004

Case No.: AUS920010188US1 (9000/31)

Serial No.: 09/821,108 Filed: March 29, 2001

Page 17 of 19

5. "computer readable code for establishing a communication link between the mobile station and a telecommunication device associated with an advertiser represented in the advertisement in response to a reception of a contact command indicating a desire of a user of the mobile station for an establishment of the communication link" as recited in dependent claim 21.

Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 16-21 under U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by *Bandera* is therefore respectfully requested.

+18479057113

Registration No. 47,078

Attorney for Applicants

T-808 P.21/23 F-225

January 22, 2004

Case No.: AUS920010188US1 (9000/31)

Serial No.: 09/821,108 Filed: March 29, 2001

Page 18 of 19

CONCLUSION

The Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-21 as amended herein fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested.

Dated: January 22, 2004

CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201 Phone: (847) 905-7111

Phone: (847) 905-7111 Fax: (847) 905-7113